

PHILLIP A. TALBERT
United States Attorney
ADRIAN T. KINSELLA
Assistant United States Attorney
501 I Street, Suite 10-100
Sacramento, CA 95814
Telephone: (916) 554-2700
Facsimile: (916) 554-2900

Attorneys for Plaintiff
United States of America

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

ROBERT GODINEZ,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 2:23-CR-0161-DAD

STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE
TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT;
FINDINGS AND ORDER

DATE: April 16, 2024
TIME: 9:30 a.m.
COURT: Hon. Dale A. Drozd

STIPULATION

Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and through defendant's counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:

1. By previous order, this matter was set for status on April 16, 2024. ECF No. 21.
2. By this stipulation, defendant now moves to continue the status conference until June 11, 2024, and to exclude time between April 16, 2024, and June 11, 2024, under Local Code T4.
3. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the following:
 - a) The government has represented that the discovery associated with this case includes over 70 gigabytes of evidence in electronic form, including criminal history documents, phone intercepts and other evidence from multiple Title III wiretaps, and search warrants. Much of this discovery is in the Spanish language. Additionally, the parties have recently agreed to a

1 protective order (ECF No. 22) and additional discovery is forthcoming.

2 b) Counsel for defendant desires additional time to consult with his client, review the
3 current charges, to conduct research and investigation related to the charges, to review and copy
4 existing and forthcoming discovery for this matter, to discuss potential resolutions with his
5 client, to prepare pretrial motions, and to otherwise prepare for trial.

6 c) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested
7 continuance would deny him the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into
8 account the exercise of due diligence.

9 d) The government does not object to the continuance.

10 e) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the
11 case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the
12 original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.

13 f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161,
14 et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of April 16, 2024 to June 11, 2024,
15 inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4]
16 because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of
17 the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest
18 of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

19 //

21 //

23 //

25 //

27 //

4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: April 10, 2024

PHILLIP A. TALBERT
United States Attorney

/s/ ADRIAN T. KINSELLA
ADRIAN T. KINSELLA
Assistant United States Attorney

Dated: April 10, 2024

/s/ JESSE J. GARCIA
JESSE J. GARCIA
Counsel for Defendant
Robert Godinez

ORDER

Pursuant to the stipulation of the parties and good cause appearing, the status conference previously scheduled for April 16, 2024 is vacated and continued to June 11, 2024, at 9:30 a.m. and time is excluded between April 16, 2024, and June 11, 2024, under Local Code T4.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 11, 2024

Dale A. Drozd
DALE A. DROZD
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE